8

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,180	06/30/2005	Linda Veronneau	EAR-101 (US) (NAT)	6142
47670 7590 08/08/2007 KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP 400 ALTLANTIC STREET , 13TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			KILIMAN, LESZEK B	
STAMFORD, CT 06901		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 1773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/541,180	VERONNEAU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	leszek b. kiliman	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,4,7,10,13,14,19 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,7,10,13,14,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected	ed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119	(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	promy amasi se elevel 3 i te	(2) (2) 3. (.).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been rece	ived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>with application</u> .	6) Other:	Lister Approximation (1 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/541,180

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1,4,7,10,13,14,19,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche,

Application/Control Number: 10/541,180

Art Unit: 1773

86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation of particulate units 1 micrometer to 3 cm, and the claim also recites nanoscale range for the same particulate units which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 14,7,10,13,14,19,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakanishi'666, Geiser'448, Ohshima'266.

See Ohshima'266, abstract, column 2, lines 1-25, column 4, lines 47-55, examples.

Geiser'448, Abstract, figures, Summary of the Invention, column 2, bottom – column 3, lines 1-35.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,180

Art Unit: 1773

The amendments and remarks filed by Applicants in their response have been fully considered.

The claims, however, remain unpatentable in view of the above rejections.

In response to the Applicants arguments the examiner submits that the prior art cited

substantially teaches the claimed invention as in the above rejections. The rejections are believe

to be proper and are maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to leszek b. kiliman whose telephone number is 571-272-1509. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-T, 6.30-5.00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lk

LESZEK KILMAN, PhD

Page 4